

# The Libertarian Idiocracy

12 Votes

QUESTION: Why would a professed and staunch Libertarian such as Ron Paul officially run as a Republican Party candidate?

-=-

**ANSWER 1 (planned obsolescence)**: Most libertarian voters are not registered Republicans, and therefore cannot vote for Ron Paul in any primary election or caucus, making Ron Paul's campaign completely useless and obsolete due to lack of registered Libertarians as registered Republicans.

The series of presidential primary elections and caucuses held in each U.S. state and territory is part of the nominating process of United States presidential elections.


This process was never included in the United States Constitution, and thus was created over time by the political parties. Some states only hold primary elections, some only hold caucuses, and others use a combination of both. These primaries and caucuses are staggered between January and June before the general election in November. The primary elections are run by state and local governments, while caucuses are private events that are directly run by the political parties themselves. A state's primary election or caucus usually is an indirect election: instead of voters directly selecting a particular person running for President, it determines how many delegates each party's national convention will receive from their respective state. These delegates then in turn select their party's presidential nominee.

Each party (not the people) determines how many delegates are allocated to each state. Along with those delegates chosen during the primaries and caucuses, state delegations to both the Democratic and Republican conventions also include "unpledged" delegates, usually current and former elected officeholders and party leaders, who can vote for whomever they want.

(Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United\_States\_presidential\_primary)

**ANSWER 2 (control of opposition)**: Because of this primary and political party structure and hierarchy, opposition to the pre-determined candidate (Willard Mitt

### GET THE BOOK! CLICK HERE:




Click here to download Strawman Story for free!

### EMAIL SUBSCRIPTION

Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

#### Sign me un!

Join 2,567 other subscribers



#### MOST POPULAR POSTS

• The Corporation Nation

Romney) is controlled and funneled out of the process before the primary even takes place, ensuring the *bloodline* candidate in both Democratic and Republican parties as the winning candidates, whom will progress to the General Election for president in November, where once again the "electoral college" of 538 people actually elect the president of the United States.

-=-

"The Presidential candidate with the greatest number of **royal genes** has always been the victor, without exception, since George Washington..."

-Harold Brooks-Baker,publishing director of Burke's Peerage

-=-

Note here that Mitt Romney and Barack Obama are indeed cousins of George Bush.

In 2004 George W. Bush ran as a "Republican" against "Democrat" John Forbes Kerry – his 16th cousin.

In 2008 Barack Obama ran against cousin John McCain.

Obama and McCain Are 22nd Cousins Descended From King Edward I of England!

McCain, it turns out, is a sixth cousin of First Lady Laura Bush.

Obama is eighth cousin, twice removed, of the 39th President, Jimmy Carter, through his seventh generation maternal grandfather, Moses Teague (1718-1799).

Obama is the tenth cousin of former presidents Gerald Ford (once removed), George H.W Bush (once removed) and George W. Bush (twice removed).

Romney is actually related to... Franklin D. Roosevelt is his eighth cousin, twice removed, and both Calvin Coolidge and Herbert Hoover are his 10th cousins. Then there is his sixth cousin (four times removed) Franklin Pierce, and both 10th cousins Bush I and II. Three out of these six were even (gasp!) Democrats.

Note that Bush, Romney, and Obama are therefore cousins – the bloodline presidents, all presidents being cousins of George Washington and the Queen of England.

Rick Perry and Jon Huntsman are also cousins with Bush, Obama, and Romney.

Fun links:

http://newsfeed.time.com/2011/12/20/family-ties-ancestry-com-finds-that-romney-and-george-w-are-cousins/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genealogical\_relationships\_of\_Presidents\_of\_the\_Uni

It goes on and on and on...

- Good Faith Notice Of Render (Abandon) Of Surname And Withdrawal Of Christian Name
- End The Fed? Ok, but lets talk first!
- PROP 8: An Unwitting Loss Of Constitutional Rights For All Americans
- Apparently, I am a conspiracy theorist.

#### PAST POSTS

- Thank You, And Good Luck
- Rowdy Rebel Jamboree Oct.
   23rd, 2021
- Wagging The Dog Part 2: The Story Behind The Story Of Covid-19 (Condensed Cut)
- Clint Interviewed For Common Sense Summit 2021
- Is Math Destroying Our Perception Of Reality?
- "Gleaming The Matrix" (New Strawman Book Preview)
- Dr. Andrew Kaufman's Viral Disinformation
- Media Finally Reports On Covid-19 As Biological Weapon, Sort Of
- Lethal Injection 2: A Corruption Of Blood
- A Roundtable With Dr. Judy
   Mikovits And Other Excursions Into
   Medical And Legal Madness
- So You Just Need One Good Reason Why Not To Get A SARS Vaccine?
- Current and Future Radio Appearances
- Radio Goo-Goo Tomorrow
- Lethal Injection 2: Trailer #2
- New Documentary (Part 1): Wagging The Dog: The Story Behind The Story of Covid-19
- Publicists Wanted

Also note that George W. Bush is a 9th cousin once removed with Barbara Peirce, **his mother** because brothers Israel Reade and Ralph Reade married Mary Kendall and Mary Peirce, who were siblings of William Reade and Mabell Kendall in the min-1600's.

Through these same types of genealogically interbred relationships, George W. Bush is also twice a 10<sup>th</sup> cousin once removed and twice an 11<sup>th</sup> cousin once removed with his mother Barbara Peirce.

To be fair, Ron Paul is the one candidate (winning or losing) I cannot seem to find in this bloodline. I'm not done searching though...

More major research on this expanded genealogy coming very soon...

SOLUTION: There are two options...

- 1) Either all Libertarians must in the future register as Democrats or Republicans (a travesty and purposeful deceit of idealism and political subterfuge amounting to an unethical sabotage of another party) meaning that they will not be able to register as Libertarians for their own political party of Libertarian so as to participate in their own primary election process, or...
- 2) All libertarians must stay out of the Democratic and Republican private political parties and therefore never elect a Libertarian into one of these two "major" or mainstream political parties, which again completely controls (controlled opposition) and oppresses the possibility that a Libertarian will ever become president under the current domination of the two-party political system.

-=-

THE MYSTERY: Ron Paul is either completely aware of these facts, or completely ignorant.

-=-

THE ONLY CONCLUSION: In either situation (awareness or ignorance), and in consideration of these preceding facts, Ron Paul's 2012 campaign as a representative of the private association called the Republican Party was without question a **controlled opposition** – in the fact that in no way could be possibly win this primary election. At no time has Ron Paul been registered as a candidate for president in the general election with any other party affiliation, including Libertarian. Therefore, Ron Paul was never a true candidate for president of the United States.

In short, at no time during the entire 2012 election process (controlled by the private political party structure) was there any chance whatsoever for Ron Paul to actually become president of the United States.

It is this researchers opinion that Ron Paul is and was fully aware of these facts, considering his over 24 year congressional career and past attempts to run under the Libertarian party, and in considering his necessary knowledge of the facts presented here

- Red Pill Sunday School S2 E4 –
   Strawman Book Pt.3
- Red Pill Sunday School S2 E3 Strawman Book Pt.2
- Red Pill Sunday School S2 E2 –
   Strawman Book Pt.1
- Red Pill Sunday School –
   Essential Update
- The Golden Toilet Paper Conspiracy
- Update
- Red Pill Sunday School S2 Episode 1
- Red Pill Sunday School: Season2 Begins
- Know Thy Enemy, Know Thyself,
   For War Is The Art Of Self-Deceit
- STRAWMAN 2: The Art Of Craig S
- Recent Interviews
- Zionist Israeli Jews Have Become The New Nazi Party
- Your Child Is Retarded, Not Autistic, And Here's Why
- Why Men Of Good Conscience Can Never Be Famous
- How To Use Religious Exemption Against Vaccination
- A Deconstruction Of The Death Of Christ: It's Not What You Think
- Orwell's New Law: Intolerance
   Is Tolerance
- Lethal Injection Part 2 Coming Soon
- Thank You For Your Help!
- The New Old Discovering The Coverings Of Alt History
- Monty Python Ended The Gender Identity Debate In 1979
- The Plague Of Synthetic, Poisonous, Patentable "Food" Products
- STRAWMAN: Volume II: Replacing "God" With "Logos" – The Most Unholy, Logical Fallacy
- Sorry, But There's Nothing Left

to run for president – including his attempts at gaining <u>delegates</u> in the Republican party as opposed to acquiring <u>voters</u> in the general elections.

It is also the opinion of this researcher that most Libertarians, as well as the vast majority of all registered (contracted) citizens eligible to vote in the United States are also completely unaware of the true political process in this country (corporation).

Oh, the joys and heartaches of logic and reason...

-=-

"The best way to control the opposition is to lead it ourselves."

-Vladimir Ilyich Lenin

-=-

- -Clint Richardson (Realitybloger.wordpress.com)
- -Sunday, September 23, 2012

#### **Share this:**

☐ Twitter ☐ Facebook

Loading...

# Related

#### Ron Paul Is Not A Sell Out?

June 9, 2012 In "politics"

#### Clint For President In 2012

August 1, 2011 In "Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports"

# The Incontrovertible Conundrum Of Dr. Ron Paul

June 23, 2012 In "Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports"

by Realitybloger on september 23, 2012 • Permalink

8 COMMENTS

Posted in UNCATEGORIZED

Tagged bush cousins with romney, clint richardson reality blog, clint4P, geneology of presidents, libertarian party, ron pau controlled oposition, ron paul. The corporation nation

Previous Post

Next Post

A Glimpse At Your Own Future

For Iran: An Inconvenient Truth

#### To Inflate

- A Parable For In Dependence Day
- Doctors: Good Actors And Spokesmodels For Very Bad Drugs And Vaccines
- Yes, Vaccines Have Caused SIDS And Autism (MUST READ AND SHARE)
- The United States: Its A Trade Union, Dummy!
- An Open Letter To Jan Irvin and his "Gnostic" Media
- (NEW) Endgame: Globalism
   Through Public Pension Ponzi
   Schemes Now Manifesting...
   CalPERS and News Outlets Caught
   Lying To The Public Again!
- The Four Pillars Of Fiction: Part 2:
   The Art Of Dissimulation
- Red Pill Sunday School: Special Guest: Kurtis Richard Kallenbach
- The Four Pillars Of Fiction Part 1:
   A Matter of Time
- What Is A Strawman? And Debunking The IRS's Straw Man Argument
- A Brief History Of The Flat Earth Society
- New Radio Show Sunday's At 5pm
- An Open Letter To "Flat Earthers"
- The True Intent Behind Counter-Cultural Gender Neutralization
- Strawman (Volume I) Now Shipping!
- Vote N.O.T.A. For President In 2016
- My Life: The End Of A Journey
- Strawman Story: Free Download Now Available
- Sand Wars: True Crimes Against Nature
- A Strong Delusion: The Mandela Effect

8 Comments Leave a comment



Dan / September 24, 2012

Clint,

I posted the comment ending with "truth will prevail" in the Todays Creatures from Jekyll Island post. I was wondering, given your extensive research on the CAFR, the United States as a corporation, and presidential election processes, if you are in favor of a stateless society.

I tend to agree with the likes of Larken Rose that government is a superstition stemming from giving up our autonomy to make a different set of rules for the bloodline rulers. I have seen very little in the way of what gave rise to the concept of stateism, however. Based on my preliminary research I believe it was the transition from hunter-gatherer into agriclture and the creation of surplus allowed this to happen.

So although I agree that a stateless society is the way to go, we must also examine the circumstances that allowed the state to arise in the first place and that in order for a stateless society to work, we must also change our economic system because the have and have-nots and the wealth gap will not make the "government disappears tomorrow" system work.

Like



# realitybloger / September 25, 2012

I believe that where Larkin Rose and others fall short in this, with all due respect (Larkin is one of my favorites!) is the burden of proof.

I say this because at no time in "history" has this society of statelessness been recorded, other than the tribal or native type peoples, and even they had a hierarchy of archetypal "leaders" and "elders", which is for all intents and purposes a "government".

And so the ultimate question becomes, who will protect the rights of individuals to be "stateless" without those people being part of a "state", which is defined as a "body politic"?

I promote what I call organization without being organized. By this I mean that no one should join or contract with any group, but that does not preclude the ability to be an organized group of individuals with no binding "citizenship" to a body politic. Protection of others rights without the requirement to protect.

The question is... is the modern human too far gone to become individuals again? It is my belief that the answer is no, and therefore I do not have hope that this stateless individualism will happen, at least not in the way that is idealistically promoted by myself, Rose, and others. Bred, trained,

- Transgender Bathrooms: A Very Simple Solution
- Vatican: The New Global Authority Of Mammon
- Republican National Party Is Member Of International Democrat Union (IDU)
- How Elections Really Work
- The Word-Smith Fallacy
- Geo-Engineering: Controlled Chaos Via Predictive Programming
- Corporation Nation Radio, Now History (His Story)
- New Election, Same Old Blood
- Legalism: A Civil Life Without **Moral Conscious**
- Harbinger Of War: A Closer Look At The Prophetic Jonathan Cahn
- DARPA The Central Planning Hub For Transhumanity
- Spin Job: The Odd Case Of Richard Andrew Grove
- Anarchy: A Non Sequitur Non Compos Mentis
- STRAWMAN: The Real Story Of Your Artificial Person
- Archons And Mind Parasites And Extremophiles, Oh My!
- I Am Not The People, And Neither Are You
- The Absurdity Of Modern Free Speech
- Free Your Mind: The Conference!
- On Modern Mechanics Of Taxation
- Crash: Why America Will Fall Under Its Own Shadow
- The Prion Chronicles: The Story Of Interferon
- Confessions Of A Former Truther
- Can The President Really Make Law?

brainwashed, and chemically lobotomized people are perhaps not capable of changing, simply because the ability to change and to obtain spirituality and enlightenment has been "vaccinated" against, just as was predicted by Huxley in "Brave New World" and others.

In my eyes, we (the masses) are an experiment gone devilishly wrong, and sometimes I wonder at how it can be corrected. But then that makes me sound a bit like a eugenicist myself, and I do joke about that sometimes, as I'm sure others in the fight do. it's not very funny though...

In the end, I only know that the people in power now that are acting as the stewards of this world and its people and creatures are mostly only interested in the next world (afterlife), and so they should not be the stewards of the people and Earth while in this life – they should not govern the living while worshiping death.

To this end, I believe we must act.

-Clint-

Like



Dan / September 25, 2012

I agree and I think the first step is making people realize that the government has no special power over them. As humans we must have organization, but voluntaryism as opposed to compulsory taxation and privatized education vs. government mandated schools are a good place to start. If we can simply remember to LEARN and THINK then bit by bit we can take back our autonomy and establish a more fair system in which the power gap is as minimalized as possible.

Two things I would love to work with you on since you seem to have a knack for finding primary documentation is what factors contributed/caused the rise of the state and when did currency first come into play. I believe these were the two main ingredients that led to debt banking and the corporation nation. Let's work together towards revealing the truth and empowering the common man. Money and bloodlines should not run this world like they do. The truth shall set us free.

Like



## Patrick McGean / September 24, 2012

I write as the Campaign Mgr. for Anonymous for President, a person of no party but capable of receiving votes from you and me that were written in, take you own paper in case they don't understand "write in."

Anonymous to the best of my knowledge is not related to any person

- Searching For Palestinian Soldiers
- Debunking Education: Exposing The Syndicate
- Stop The Religion Of The Fed
- The Fallacy Of Congressional Ethics
- 133 Nations Join Against United States Government
- What To Respect When Expecting
- Where The State Of Texas Hides Your Money
- Degrees In Geo-Engineering And Sustainable Development
- Cracking The Legal Code Of King James
- Good Faith Notice Of Render (Abandon) Of Surname And Withdrawal Of Christian Name
- Weaponizing The Trivium: The Greatest Fallacy
- A Treatise On Freeing Mankind
   From Corporate Bond And Surety
- How I Found Utopia
- It's Time To Join The Reality Movement
- CAFR School Week On The Corporation Nation Radio
- How All Presidents Are Related To King John
- The George Carlin Experiment

ARCHIVES

Select Month

SEARCH KEY WORDS

IS ANYBODY OUT THERE?

• 1,928,102 hits

mentioned above unless they are Anonymous. No Royalty nor commies. Ron Paul did not want the job, he wanted to speak out and he did, as did all the others who could be Anonymous, rather than Mutt or Jeff the Royalty, the British we failed to kick out of Dodge when we declared our Independence, 1776.

The British people are not the problem, but the Royalty, the elite, the one percent, who think the electoral college will save the Crown, but the college is only a tie breaker when we all write who ever Anonymous is, the name will be revealed on the 5th of November,

Remember the 5th of November, 2012 so when we write in one name imagine to look on the Queen's face, imagine the same look on the face of every CEO in the land.

Anonymous is the Champion of the Planet, and hurting the Planet could cost you your life.

Anonymous for President 2012 is last thing the elite expected nor can they do a damn thing to stop it.

4 November 2012 Dancing with the Candidates will occur, and we can decide who we want, a real candidate, Micky Mouse is under contract.

Ron Paul? Rosanne? Jesse Ventura? Rocky Anderson? Gary Johnson? Jill the Green Party Girl, Ralph Nader is not registered but welcome to come to the dance.

We can be of one accord, one voice, with echos of the greatness from our spirit, why does everybody want to come to USA? Those who have come will all vote now they are citizens, have we forgotten how to be citizens. The elite thinks so.

Got Sulfur? Key to equation, it addresses stupidity.

Write in votes are counted at the precincts not Spain.

Like



Wayne / October 15, 2012

Good sir,

I've been a fan for a while. You are always spot on with your perspective and attentional to detail. You have laid out the legal straps of serfdom/bondage and I continue to applaud your hard work.

I take it (especially if your still near the salt lake area) you observe newly political fanatics that claim a virtue and understanding of liberty, people who tout RP as our savior. And yes, there are likely masses of RP supporters who still don't get "it," the basic mechanics of control through consent and unfortunate ignorance. But, the same path you traveled to accurately understand the Actual issues and forces opressing the world, is a path that more people are just now traveling. Unfortunately, there are far too many people whoses souls are challenged.

Ron Paul's words and principled understanding of the relationship between us citizens and the intended authority of a federal government, has inspired and

lead me to, with your help, discover the details and ideology behind solutions. I feel RP is stepping put on an edge a bit to even discuss the topics at hand. Nonetheless, he continues to open doors, through controlled media outlets, to people who will listen.

As you continue to stress, it's up to us, true patriots and americans under god, to unstrap the bonds of consent and ignorance, to stand up to the few who have tricked us for so long. I get your tensions with RP, but some credit is due.

I would enjoy a discussion on possible solutions or implementations of alternatives. As always, take care and I appreciate your work.

Like



# realitybloger / October 16, 2012

Thanks for the comment...

I've said it before and I 'll say it again: The legal definition (the only definition that matters) for the word "solution" is the fulfillment or voiding of a contract (*Bouvier's*, 1856)

Thus, with me, no discussion is necessary. End all contractual ties with the United States and other municipal governments, and you will be free.

The legal definition of "freedom" is to do whatever you wish without breaking the law. Therefore, "freedom" is a very bad word when legally applied to ourselves. Understand the difference between **being free** and having the privilege granted by the state of "freedom", and the solution is quite clear. Freedom comes only in contract, whereas being free is your natural state.

Like



## Let's Get Honest / December 2, 2012

Last sentence of the post, cute!

RE:

"Bred, trained, brainwashed, and chemically lobotomized people are perhaps not capable of changing, simply because the ability to change and to obtain spirituality and enlightenment has been "vaccinated" against, just as was predicted by Huxley in "Brave New World" and others.

"In my eyes, we (the masses) are an experiment gone devilishly wrong, and sometimes I wonder at how it can be corrected. But then that makes me sound a bit like a eugenicist myself, and I do joke about that sometimes, as I'm sure others in the fight do"

 $\sim$   $\sim$  I don't think you're a eugenicist (at least hope not; that's a bad crowd to hang with!!).

The human fighting spirit is still around, maybe sometimes it just needs an ignition, and a sense of purpose that doesn't include suicidal stupidity, like believing crooks are honest, and politicians are largely not crooks (see CAFR silence, etc.)

\_\_\_\_\_

I'm recommending people read a book that seems to be on-line: "the rise and fall of diamonds" (1982, Edward Jay Epstein) which interviews many of the primary people. He's a good writer, and in this sample, and how Cecil Rhodes operated in the late 1800s to establish his monopoly diamonds — not just the mines, but also the supply chain — and eliminated the competition not to mention getting rid of the natives in the process (other than as would be useful laborers), while in the other side of the (collective) mouth talking about human rights — is real interesting.

If the Rhodes empire (Rhodesia finally got a namechange, and wants his bones sent back to England), and the scholarships (see Fullbright, Clinton mentoring, Harvard scholars, etc.) is understood properly, it also makes complete sense with why US government has been privatized (see Walter Burien) AND massive public education dumbed down to just enough to train a middle class (see "brainwashed") to manage the lower class to the ruling class can do all the banking, finance, etc. . . . . It just makes sense to me.

Where brainwashing doesn't work, there's force to back it up. The intent to dominate and strip the assets of USA economically is no different than was done in Africa.

They are resisting (striking, etc.) also — and getting shot in the process at times. The DeBeers/Anglo American/Rhodes (first)/Oppenheimer (3rd generation Oppenheimer is now I believe the richest man in South Africa ("Nicky"), while his grandpa "Sir Ernest" formed Anglo American with JPMorgan in 1917, after which they got control of the Diamond industry (De Beers).

Anglo-American (revenue 2010 ca. \$30 billion, mining)

http://www.crocodyl.org/wiki/anglo\_american\_plc

Ch. 19, how to DeBeers dealt with competitors (1952-1979sff, a man from Texas, another from NY - international...

http://edwardjayepstein.com/diamond/chap19.htm

Tactic's haven't changed that much in 100 yrs (1870s-1890s)

http://edwardiayepstein.com/diamond/chap7.htm. including

http://edwardjayepstein.com/diamond/chap7.htm, including starting wars... controlling the markets, and governments if that's needed to control the markets...

If you like a can't-put-down story with major players that is relevant today, this one is a good one to look at.

What I don't get is why we keep voluntarily giving our own masters/rulers for the benefit of the doubt when it hasn't been earned.

While I hear the anti-Zionist stuff, I still made a note that it was in part the Inquisition and medieval guilds that had driven jews who wanted to have any profession, into things like diamond-polishing or money-lending. When African discoveries were going to flood the market, they watched out for their own interests (and more than).

http://edwardjayepstein.com/diamond/chap8.htm

Not that the whole crew aren't maniacal narcissists, and sometimes genocidal. They AND their offspring and associates: start wars, break strikes, set up monopolies, then talk about peace, love and human rights, setting up philanthropies, etc. The whole diamond trade (not that old, really) is convincing the world that something has real value, knowing it doesn't, which to me seems symbolic. It was based on, actually, convincing Americans (now, other countries) that Diamonds are Forever (buy, and don't resell, it's called "overhang," how many diamonds the public actually holds; it would flood the market and eliminate their market, probably) and necessary for a symbol of engagement. (I pawned my ring a while back for a fraction of the original cost, which is all they are really worth).

Like

1. To Protect And Serve? | Scanned Retina Blog

Leave a Reply						

BLUG AT WURDPRESS.COM.